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It is clear that an active engagement of the private sector is critical for the achievement of the internationally agreed Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Through its core business activities and inclusive market practices, the private sector can play a pivotal role in ensuring sustainable development and contributing to job creation, growth and better access to products and services for poor populations.

Since its establishment, the Istanbul International Center for Private Sector in Development (IICPSD) has been UNDP’s global hub for all efforts pertaining to private-sector engagement in poverty alleviation, serving to identify policies and business models and helping to promote market-based solutions through research, advisory services and capacity development.

The present report focuses on inclusive business. Through inclusive business models, poor people gain access to affordable goods and services such as education, health care and sanitation as well as better livelihood opportunities by participating in the market as consumers, employees, suppliers and entrepreneurs. Such models not only satisfy their basic needs but also empower them individually and commercially.

Innovative inclusive business models also benefit companies. By leveraging their technology and knowledge, they can generate profits, develop new markets, fuel innovation and enhance productivity. Although they may face numerous challenges, many companies strategically collaborate with non-traditional partners, including non-profit and governmental organizations, to achieve financial and social impact.

The report presents the results of four stages of research to determine the current level of knowledge, engagement and awareness of inclusive business of the Turkish private sector, and to identify the common characteristics and key drivers of successful initiatives. Thus it attempts to guide key stakeholders — businesses, government, communities, NGOs — to devise action plans to promote and support the development of inclusive business models towards poverty alleviation and human development.

We hope to capture business leaders’ attention and imagination through this report and want to convince them to prioritize making their core business more inclusive. We also hope to further policymakers’ commitment for advancing inclusive businesses. Together, it is utterly possible to make an innovative and sustainable change. Why not give it a chance?

Marcos Athias Neto
Director
Istanbul International Center for Private Sector in Development
United Nations Development Programme
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCtA</td>
<td>Business Call to Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIF</td>
<td>Business Innovation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOP</td>
<td>Base of the pyramid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Corporate social responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20</td>
<td>The Group of Twenty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>Global Reporting Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIM</td>
<td>Growing Inclusive Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information and communication technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Finance Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IICPSD</td>
<td>Istanbul International Center for Private Sector in Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSEAD</td>
<td>European Institute of Business Administration (Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small and medium-sized enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCTAD</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Trade and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNGC</td>
<td>United Nations Global Compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBCSD</td>
<td>World Business Council for Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations features 17 goals, referred to as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), covering a wide variety of economic, social and environmental challenges. The UN recognizes that to achieve the SDGs, we need stronger partnerships and possibly new partnership models.

The private sector has become an important partner with great potential to collaborate to achieve the SDGs. While there are different ways in which the private sector can be involved, this report focuses on inclusive business models. The G20 (2015) defines inclusive business as “a private sector approach to providing goods, services, and livelihoods on a commercially viable basis, either at scale or scalable, to people living at the base of the economic pyramid (BOP) making them part of the value chain of companies’ core business as suppliers, distributors, retailers, or customers”.

Inclusive business models are considered more sustainable than other forms of private-sector involvement because they envision development goals as part of a core (vs. peripheral) business strategy. Also, governments now perceive inclusive business as a promising solution to the challenges of development.

Though the number of inclusive businesses has risen substantially over the last decade, it is still far from the desired level. Furthermore, most of the existing inclusive businesses still fail to achieve scale. To discover why, the Istanbul International Center for Private Sector in Development (IICPSD) posed two research questions:

1. What do successful initiatives that reach their full potential in terms of scale and impact have in common?
2. What is the private sector’s current level of knowledge, engagement and awareness of inclusive business?

This report presents findings from four stages of research: a comprehensive review of literature and an analysis of 150 successful cases around the world to answer the first research question; in-depth interviews with 10 top business executives and a survey of 680 companies in Turkey to answer the second.

**What makes inclusive businesses successful?**

Overall, there are three main factors that help inclusive businesses reach commercial success, social impact and sustainability:

✦ The business model: How inclusive the core operations of the business are.

✦ Partnerships: To what extent initiatives collaborate with other stakeholders.

✦ Innovation: How innovative the business is.

This highlights the importance of developing an **innovative business model based on collaborations** with related parties to incorporate poor people into a company’s core business.

Currently, poor people are mostly incorporated into the value chain as consumers. We suggest that the focus could shift to incorporating poor people into the value chain through operations, to ensure wealth creation at the BOP. This can only be possible by also involving poor people in the market system as producers or employees.
Networks of relationships emerged as a source of competitive advantage, with the accumulated effect created through the resources and capabilities of the whole network being greater than when one company operates alone. Thus, creating a conducive environment for collaboration among different stakeholders and establishing frameworks that bring together business and supporting actors will expand dialogue and create actionable partnerships.

Innovation emerges as another key factor for successful inclusive businesses. Inclusive businesses that demonstrate innovation when targeting a market for the first time achieve success and sustainability in their operations. Over half of the successful inclusive businesses tapped into markets with new products or services, rather than entering the market with existing products or services.
The private sector’s current level of knowledge, engagement and awareness of inclusive business — A pilot study in Turkey

Companies in Turkey have very low levels of engagement in inclusive business models and activities. Those companies that are engaged in inclusive business mainly interact with the BOP by employing poor people.

There is almost no cooperation between inclusive businesses in Turkey and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) or governmental institutions. Collaboration between all stakeholders, including the government, development agents, universities and NGOs, is needed to increase levels of awareness among companies and to support inclusive business practices.

How to raise the level of awareness of and engagement with inclusive business

Companies need to put inclusiveness at their centre from the very outset, and reflect this as a priority in every stage of the value chain; ensure commitment from senior management; invest in and include the BOP in the value chain, and measure and communicate the impact; be innovative, especially when cooperating; and make long-term projections for returns when targeting the BOP.

Governments need to take an active role; promote transparency and accountability; support innovation and entrepreneurship; encourage the private sector to invest in human capital through inclusive businesses; create external financing facilities; and develop certification for inclusive value chains.

Collaboration is a must to ensure success. Inclusive business requires high levels of collaboration between different development actors for greater social impact and the sustainability of these business models. Joint efforts are needed from the government, development agents, universities and NGOs.

Policy implications

Managers’ current low levels of interest in inclusive business would increase if they had a better understanding of how inclusive business approaches might help them grow their business. Therefore, based on our findings, we recommend:

✦ developing national strategies on inclusive business that incorporate a strong focus on fostering innovation;
✦ organizing nationwide awareness-raising campaigns (particularly targeting SMEs and entrepreneurs); and
✦ increasing the measurement, reporting and communication of the impact of inclusive businesses (both social and business impacts).

Who is the poor?

In this report, the term “poor” is used to represent people living below the poverty line of $8 per day in purchasing power parity (PPP) terms. $8 USD line is used as an approximate measure to define a specific human condition (representing the conditions of the poor at the base of the global socio-economic ladder) since a universally acceptable empirical definition of poverty is elusive according to many scholars (London, 2007).
2015 will be remembered as a landmark year for the development community. The adoption of the Addis Ababa Action Agenda on Financing for Development and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) has paved the way for a comprehensive and transformative agenda —known as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development— to guide the development community for the next 15 years. With 17 SDGs that cover a wide variety of economic, social and environmental challenges, the agenda has been both applauded and dismissed as too ambitious. Whether the goals are too ambitious to be reached or ambitious enough to unite nations to work for the common good of all humanity, making progress on the SDGs as a community would bring about a peaceful and prosperous world, which we all aspire to live in together.

But how is it going to happen? The UNCTAD ‘World Investment Report 2014’ estimates that the needs for financing the SDGs will range from USD$5 trillion to USD$7 trillion per year at the global level, which leaves an annual shortfall of around US$2.5 trillion in developing countries alone.¹ This massive gap demonstrates the need for active involvement and investment from all stakeholders besides governments, including the private sector.

This is not to say that the private sector should mobilize its financial resources to fill this gap. Rather, this gap is a significant opportunity for the private sector. Investments are required in fast-growing markets in the developing world, not in already saturated, slowly growing markets in the developed world. Firms can get higher investment returns once they broaden their operational outlook to include developing markets. A new approach to doing business would make this happen — one that is innovative, sustainable and inclusive.

**Inclusive business**, defined by the G20 as “a private sector approach to providing goods, services, and livelihoods on a commercially viable basis, either at scale or scalable, to people living at the base of the economic pyramid (BOP)² making them part of the value chain of companies’ core business as suppliers, distributors, retailers, or customers”, is one example of where private capital and innovation meet sustainable development in a business-oriented setting. By including previously excluded poor and vulnerable populations in its core activities, inclusive business creates value for both the enterprises and excluded populations involved. It lets enterprises establish new consumer bases, innovate new goods, services and operations, have access to a more qualified labour force and improve the quality of their supply and delivery chains. It enables poor or vulnerable populations by expanding their access to goods, services and income-generating opportunities.

Inclusive business goes beyond the way of business thinking that has been dominant up to now: that companies could deliver their social responsibilities through corporate philanthropy or isolated corporate social responsibility (CSR) programmes. Moreover, these remodelled businesses are commercial activities; therefore, they also generate a direct return on investment back to the business ventures — unlike corporate philanthropic activities.

This report is an attempt to guide key stakeholders — enterprises, government, communities, the development sector — to devise action plans to promote and support inclusive business. First, it presents an analysis of successful inclusive business cases around the world. Second, by drawing conclusions from a pilot study with Turkish companies, it defines to what extent the private sector currently engages with inclusive business operations.

² Base of the Economic Pyramid (BOP) is used to describe men and women with a low income or who lack access to basic goods and services. The low-income segment is commonly considered to include people earning up to US$8/day in purchasing power parity (PPP) terms. Setting the maximum in PPP terms adjusts the real figure to equate to the relative purchasing powers among different countries.
Background

The research

Though the number of inclusive businesses has risen substantially over the last decade, it is still far from the desired level. Furthermore, most of the existing inclusive businesses still fail to achieve scale. To discover why, the Istanbul International Center for Private Sector in Development (IICPSD) posed two research questions:

1. What do successful initiatives that reach their full potential in terms of scale and impact have in common?
2. What is the private sector’s current level of knowledge, engagement and awareness of inclusive business?

To address these questions, development practitioners from IICPSD worked with academics from Koç University School of Business to develop a multi-year study on the private sector’s role in development, with a specific focus on inclusive business models. The research aimed to understand the potential areas of intervention to accelerate the shift towards inclusive business at both company and government level, in global, regional and national terms. The present report is the result of four stages of research (a literature review, a case analysis, in-depth interviews and a survey) distilled for the use of the business community and decision-makers.

Why inclusive business?

We focus on inclusive business for two major reasons. First, inclusive business models are considered more sustainable than other forms of involvement by the private sector because these models envision development goals as part of a core (vs. peripheral) business strategy and are financially self-sustainable. Second, governments now perceive inclusive business as a promising solution to the challenges of development. For the last couple of years, inclusive business has been a key item on the agenda of the G20 — which comprises a mix of the world’s largest advanced and emerging economies, representing about two thirds of the world’s population, 85 percent of global gross domestic product (GDP) and over 75 percent of global trade — and G20 leaders have recently admitted that inclusive business has a particularly important role to play in sustainable development.3

Nearly all (98 percent) of the 150 inclusive business cases investigated in the research are still operating and sustaining their operations. This might suggest that companies that incorporate people at the BOP into their core value chain as part of their core business are likely to operate for longer than companies that include people at the BOP in the value chain through activities which are ancillary to the company’s core business.

---

Methodology

Four stages of research were conducted through primary and secondary data collection:

**Literature review:** We extensively reviewed the literature on the role of the private sector in human development since the term was coined by UNDP in its first Human Development Report in 1990. The team scanned 1319 articles published in highly respected journals indexed in the Social Sciences Citation Index and grey literature reports published by development agents including UNDP, Ashoka, the World Bank, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) and Endeva. Only 24 of the articles directly concerned inclusive business, while 100 were about the nature and characteristics of the BOP. The relative novelty of the concept of inclusive business, which was coined by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) in 2005, explains the scarcity of its academic coverage in peer-reviewed journals. Most of the information found, therefore, came from published or unpublished documents from development organizations, research institutes, think tanks and governmental organizations.

**Case analysis:** We looked at 150 business cases gathered from the databases of the UNDP Growing Inclusive Markets (GIM) initiative and the IFC, as well as inclusive business cases from the reports of other organizations such as the WBCSD, Harvard Business School and Endeva. Then we developed an analytical framework based on the literature review to examine the cases. Two independent coders evaluated the cases according to the criteria set in our framework. Discrepancies between the two coders’ analyses were solved through discussion between them.

**In-depth interviews:** We conducted semi-structured in-depth interviews with managers or owners of 10 companies operating in Turkey. These companies include small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), large national companies and multinational corporations from the production and services sectors with varying degrees of involvement in development efforts (ranging from adopting inclusive business to having no experience of CSR or other ancillary activities). The interviews told us about current practices of Turkish companies on inclusive business, and helped us to identify the key variables to use in our survey.

**Survey:** We developed a comprehensive survey questionnaire with 41 substantive and 10 demographic questions to understand the private sector’s role in development, and the current level of companies’ awareness, knowledge and experience of inclusive business models. The questionnaire consisted of seven-point Likert-scale questions, open- and semi-open-ended and multiple-choice questions. A link to a self-administered online survey was sent by email to senior executives of 680 companies from 42 different sectors, including SMEs, large national companies, multinational companies and social enterprises, and with a representative sample by industry and company size. Through a comprehensive list of companies operating mostly in the Marmara region, we reached members of the Istanbul Chamber of Commerce and companies with a previous corporate relationship with Koç University.

After follow-up calls, 96 surveys were completed — a response rate of approximately 14 percent. Just under half (46 percent) of these companies operate solely in Turkey, while 54 percent also have operations outside Turkey.
The three main factors that help inclusive businesses reach commercial success, social impact and sustainability are their business model, partnerships and innovation.

Most of the successful inclusive business cases use inclusive business models, incorporating the BOP into their core value chain as part of their core business operations and relying on market returns for commercial viability.
How can we support inclusive business models to reach their full potential in terms of scale and impact? Do successful inclusive businesses have common characteristics that can be leveraged? How do they differ from each other? These were the preliminary questions we asked before we built our analytical framework to examine 150 successful inclusive business cases to identify key characteristics of the company or the initiative, their business models, and contextual factors which may play a role in their success.

Despite their regional and sector-based representativeness, these 150 cases are all successful applications of inclusive business (in terms of profitability and scale-up at the time of investigation), as the extensive literature lacks cases about failed attempts at inclusive business. Consequently, the results of our analysis can be generalized to all successful cases. We suggest that future research should investigate failed attempts as well as successful applications, as we believe that we can use unsuccessful cases to identify company-related and contextual challenges for the sustainability of inclusive businesses.

The big picture

To see the big picture, we classified the inclusive businesses by using the G20 Inclusive Business Framework (2015), which suggests three approaches for engaging in inclusive business: inclusive business models, inclusive business activities and social enterprise initiatives.

In inclusive business models, companies incorporate the BOP into their core value chain as part of their core business operations and rely on market returns for commercial viability and financial self-sustainability.

On the other hand, in inclusive business activities, companies include people at the BOP in the value chain through activities which are ancillary to the company’s core business and typically commercially funded.

Finally, social enterprise initiatives pursue social objectives and are not oriented towards profit maximization or financial self-sustainability.4

Table 1. Inclusive business approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOP’s Relationship to Business</th>
<th>INCLUSIVE BUSINESS MODELS</th>
<th>INCLUSIVE BUSINESS ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>SOCIAL ENTERPRISE INITIATIVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Value Chain</td>
<td>Ancillary</td>
<td>Ancillary or Core Value Chain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Returns</td>
<td>Market Returns or Below Market Returns</td>
<td>Not Profit Maximizing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The categories established for the case analysis (see Appendix I for detailed explanations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success factors</td>
<td>• Pull factor (high demand for the product or service)</td>
<td>The most important factor behind the success of the initiative that allows the initiative to be economically viable and to generate social impact or outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Business model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Local support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• State support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Innovativeness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Availability of funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Entrepreneurial skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Low cost structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of engagement for inclusive business</td>
<td>• Inclusive business model</td>
<td>Companies incorporate the BOP into their core value chain as part of their core business operations and rely on market returns for commercial viability and financial self-sustainability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inclusive business activity</td>
<td>Companies include people at the BOP in the value chain through activities which are ancillary to the company’s core business and typically commercially funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Social enterprise</td>
<td>These initiatives pursue social objectives and are not oriented towards profit maximization or financial self-sustainability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of organization</td>
<td>• Large national company</td>
<td>Classification of companies by the location of the company and the number of staff employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Local SME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Multinational corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Social enterprise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Unit of Analysis</td>
<td>Explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>Whether the business is still operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years in operation</td>
<td>• Numeric</td>
<td>How old the initiative is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value chain operations</td>
<td>• Inbound logistics</td>
<td>The core domain of operations for the initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Outbound logistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Marketing and sales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Procurement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Human resources management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of poor people</td>
<td>• Consumer</td>
<td>The entry point of poor people into the value chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Producer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Labour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Entrepreneur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>• Resource-based view</td>
<td>Application of a bundle of valuable tangible or intangible resources at the firm's disposal to transform a short-term competitive advantage into a sustained competitive advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Network theory</td>
<td>The source of competitive advantage is a network of relationships; firms with best/optimal relationship portfolios will survive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# What Makes Inclusive Businesses Successful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factors for growth</strong></td>
<td>• Business model adjustments</td>
<td>Whether the main contributing factor for scale-up is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Business environment</td>
<td>a change in the business model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Operational partnerships</td>
<td>the supporting business environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Market or supplier development</td>
<td>the strength and impact of partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Funding partnerships</td>
<td>the new market opportunities, and extending supplier networks through new suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Innovative products/services</td>
<td>the availability of funds created through partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Modified versions of existing products/services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Existing products/services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovativeness</strong></td>
<td>• Innovative products/services</td>
<td>Whether the initiative serves innovative products/services, modified versions of existing products/services or existing products/services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Modified versions of existing products/services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Existing products/services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value proposition</strong></td>
<td>• Newness</td>
<td>Whether the core value created by the initiative is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Performance</td>
<td>innovative solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Customization</td>
<td>improving the performance of a product or service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Design</td>
<td>adapting the product/service to meet customers' needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Price</td>
<td>offering a distinctive design of products/services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Risk reduction</td>
<td>a low price or fair price for improved performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Newness</td>
<td>reducing risks in the lives of people with a low income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHAT MAKES INCLUSIVE BUSINESSES SUCCESSFUL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value proposition</td>
<td>• Accessibility</td>
<td>increasing poor people’s access to goods/services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Convenience/usability</td>
<td>making products and services easy to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>• Poor infrastructure</td>
<td>Major challenges faced during and after the implementation of inclusive business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Political, regulatory or economic uncertainties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Corruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Financial challenges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of partnership opportunities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of governmental support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of institutional support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NGOs, local managers etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Challenges related to local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Critical success factors

Overall, there are three main factors that help inclusive businesses reach commercial success, social impact and sustainability:

1. **The business model**: How inclusive the core operations of the business are
2. **Partnerships**: To what extent initiatives collaborate with other stakeholders
3. **Innovation**: How innovative the business is

The business model emerges as the most critical success factor (in 45 percent of cases), followed by partnerships (18 percent) and innovation (15 percent). This highlights the importance of developing an innovative business model based on collaborations with related parties to incorporate poor people into the core business of the company (see Inclusive Business Case 1 as an example).

Inclusive Business Case 1. Koraye Kurumba and Yeelen Kura

Koraye Kurumba and Yeelen Kura are two companies that operate in the energy sector in Mali. They collaborate with Dutch NUON and French TOTAL to provide energy to more than 40,000 people in 24 villages with small solar home systems and diesel generators.5

“In Mali, only 10% of the country’s 12 million inhabitants have access to electricity. Access is even lower —just 2%-3%— in rural areas, where appliances are powered with car batteries and kerosene lamps. Candles are used for daily lighting. Koraye Kurumba and Yeelen Kura are two rural energy services companies operated in rural Mali by Électricité de France — in partnership with the Dutch energy company NUON and the French TOTAL, with support from the French Agency for the Environment and Energy Efficiency. Their low-cost electricity, based on solar home systems or small low-voltage village micronetworks supplied by diesel generators, made big development impacts. They enhanced standards of living. They also developed new income-generating activities. And they improved the quality of health care and education. Backed by a new institutional framework and international donors, the model —designed to ensure profitability, sustainability, scalability and local ownership— is to be expanded beyond the 24 villages and 40,000 people it serves today.”

---

Business models help inclusive businesses succeed

Inclusive business models constituted 82 percent of the successful cases analysed, followed by inclusive business activities (10 percent) and social enterprises (8 percent).

More than one third (38 percent) of the inclusive businesses are local SMEs, followed by multinational corporations (27 percent), large national companies (26 percent) and social enterprises (9 percent).

Looking at the approach to conducting inclusive business by company type reveals that multinational companies are more likely than large national companies or SMEs to conduct inclusive business activities.

Figure 1. The three approaches for engaging in inclusive business

Figure 2. Approach to conducting inclusive business by company type
On the other hand, while business models emerge as the main critical success factor for local SMEs, multinational corporations and large national companies, partnerships are the main success factor for social enterprises (see Figure 2 in Appendix III).

Figure 3. Critical success factors for inclusive business

The core domain of operations in which most inclusive business took place was marketing and sales (44%). In these cases, poor people are mostly incorporated into the value chain as consumers, which creates social and business impact that is bound up with their current financial resources. We suggest that the focus could shift to incorporating poor people into the value chain through operations, rather than marketing and sales, to ensure wealth creation at the BOP. This can only be possible by also involving poor people in the market system as producers. The focus should be on creating fortunes with the BOP, as opposed to at the BOP (London and Hart, 2011).
The position of poor people in companies’ value chain is a major determinant of success. More than a half of the successful business cases served poor people by selling to poor consumers, whereas 28 percent of the businesses strengthened their supply chain by buying from poor producers. To ensure sustainability in reaching intended business and social goals, there is a need for a shift in perspective to assign different roles to poor people in the value chain. Thus, there is room for businesses to immerse themselves in the market (ibid.) and go beyond selling to poor people, by integrating them into the value chain as producers and increasing their engagement (Agnihotri, 2013; Shivarajan and Srinivasan, 2013).

**Figure 5. The role of poor people in inclusive businesses by company type**
Looking at the different positions of poor people in the value chain reveals that large national companies both buy from and sell to poor people, whereas three quarters of the multinational corporations and half of the local SMEs studied only sell to them. So, for MNCs and SMEs, there is room for integrating poor people in the value chain. On the other hand, the poor people are positioned as consumers in more than half of the cases; and this fact highlights another key role that BOP consumers. When business practices are aligned with sustainable consumption patterns and relevant information on the products and services that are provided to people at the BOP, they would also create positive impacts on environmental sustainability.

The position of poor people in the value chain also varies according to the products and services companies provide. Poor people mostly take on the role of employees for the firms which serve their markets with existing products or services. They are mostly included in the business model as consumers for companies tapping into the markets with new products and services or slightly modified versions of their existing products.

**Figure 6. The role of poor people in inclusive businesses by type of product or service**

Poor people mostly take on the role of entrepreneurs in the consumer goods, waste and energy sectors; employees in the artisanal goods and waste sectors; producers in the agriculture and food sectors; and consumers in the water, information and communication technologies (ICT), health and construction sectors (affordable housing).
Partnerships help inclusive businesses succeed

Networks of relationships emerged as a source of competitive advantage, and the relationship portfolios that the firms established emerged as one source of success in business. Only a quarter of the successful businesses use a resource-based strategy. The vast usage of a network approach further stresses that collaboration helps inclusive businesses succeed. The accumulated effect created through the resources and capabilities of the whole network is greater than when one company operates alone, and increases the business and social impact of inclusive businesses.

Inclusive Business Case 2. Juan Valdez

Juan Valdez is a large national agriculture company in Colombia. Its model enables farmers to sell their coffee directly under a popular brand name.6

“Coffee is a way of life for more than 566,000 Colombian farmers associated with the National Federation of Coffee Growers of Colombia (NFC). About 95% of NFC coffee growers are small-scale, with coffee plantations of less than 5 hectares. An estimated 2 million Colombians depend directly on coffee production. For decades the coffee market has confronted crises from international price instability, with significant repercussions on the quality of life for small producers and their families. The Juan Valdez character—created in 1959 to position Colombian coffee globally, particularly in the United States—was relaunched in 2002 with the inauguration of the Juan Valdez Coffee Shops, part of an NFC initiative to increase coffee producers’ profits by incorporating direct sales into its commercial model. In 2006, the company operated 57 coffee shops in Colombia, the United States and Spain, with sales reaching $20 million.”

WHAT MAKES INCLUSIVE BUSINESSES SUCCESSFUL?

Figure 7. Types of structures of inclusive businesses

Firms seek to strengthen their inclusive businesses by using one of or a combination of three different structures (Gradl and Jenkins, 2011). A firm can rely on its own resources and capabilities to overcome coordination problems by keeping its structure as a **private initiative**. When firms rely critically on the resources and/or capabilities of other players and cannot simply purchase them on the market, they establish **project-based alliances** with one or more other organizations. **Platforms** are employed when only potentially large numbers of players acting collectively are capable of strengthening an inclusive business ecosystem. Platforms allow many different players to coordinate with each other. Platforms are long-term and relatively more sustainable structures than private initiatives and project-based alliances, as they significantly reduce the time, effort and costs of overcoming constraints through the efficient use of resources and capabilities.

The majority (59 percent) of successful inclusive businesses engage in cooperation with other actors to harness resources and capabilities through project-based alliances. Thus, creating a conducive environment for collaboration among different stakeholders can bring about and scale up collaborative and lucrative business solutions to challenges faced by poor people today. Therefore, we recommend that governments and international development institutions should recognize and respond to the coordination gaps among stakeholders, and actively lead the establishment of frameworks that bring together business and supporting actors to expand dialogue and create actionable partnerships.

Figure 8. Structures of inclusive businesses by type of organization
WHAT MAKES INCLUSIVE BUSINESSES SUCCESSFUL?

Looking at the structures of inclusive businesses by type of organization reveals that project-based alliances emerge as the main structure for inclusive businesses not only for small-scale firms but also for businesses that have operations in one or more countries. Almost three quarters of multinational corporations and more than a half of large national companies and local SMEs establish project-based alliances with other organizations to access critical resources and/or capabilities that they cannot simply purchase on the market.

The major factors for the growth and scale-up of inclusive business are operational partnerships (45 percent of cases), market or supplier development (22 percent) and business model adjustments (22 percent).

**Figure 9. Major factors for the growth and scale-up of inclusive businesses by structure**

The main factor for growth for project-based alliances and platforms is operational partnerships, while business model adjustments emerge as the main factor for private initiatives.

**Figure 10. Major factors for the growth and scale-up of inclusive business by business model**
Again, operational partnerships emerge as the main factor for both inclusive business models and social enterprises, while the main factor for growth for inclusive business activities is market and supplier development. These findings suggest that collaborations and partnerships in the operational domain can help companies to scale up.

**Innovation helps inclusive businesses succeed**

Innovation emerges as another key factor for inclusive businesses to succeed. Innovation especially matters when a company targets a market for the first time. Inclusive businesses that demonstrate innovation in the new market achieve success and sustainability in their operations. More than half (56 percent) of the successful inclusive businesses tapped into markets with new products or services, rather than entering the market with existing products or services.

**Challenges**

In all these successful inclusive business cases, companies face different company-related or contextual challenges to sustainability and scale-up. The three main challenges are challenges related to the local community (39 percent of cases), financial challenges (30 percent) and political, regulatory or economic uncertainties (15 percent).

The main challenges faced by local SMEs, multinational corporations and large national companies are related to the local community, followed by financial challenges. For social enterprises, financial challenges emerge as the main challenge (see Figure 1 in Appendix III). These findings signal the need for collaborations with financial institutions for inclusive business to overcome financial barriers.

Companies which were established more recently face challenges related to institutional support and partnership opportunities. This leads us to the policy implication that new inclusive businesses need support and opportunities to develop partnerships with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), institutions from both the private and public sectors and other development actors.
Inclusive Business Case 3. Celtel and Celpay

Celtel is a multinational corporation providing 2 million people with information and communication technology in war-torn Democratic Republic of Congo. Its former subsidiary Celpay is providing financial services, replacing the absence of banking networks.3

“Celtel International – the leading pan-African mobile communications group, with operations in 15 countries – entered the Democratic Republic of Congo in 2000, when the civil war was still raging. It faced a market with widespread insecurity, poverty, depleted human capacity and political and regulatory uncertainty. There was little or no infrastructure and no banking network. The potential customer base seemed very small, with few ways to reach out to them. Despite those obstacles, Celtel has gained more than 2 million customers in the country, allowing communities previously isolated by war and poor infrastructure to exchange information. Celtel also established Celpay — previously part of Celtel and now owned by FirstRand Banking Group — as a mobile banking system to compensate for the lack of a national banking network.”

---

Companies in Turkey have very low levels of engagement in inclusive business models and activities. Those companies that are engaged in inclusive business mainly interact with the BOP by employing poor people.

There is almost no cooperation between inclusive businesses in Turkey and NGOs or governmental institutions. Collaboration between all stakeholders, including the government, development agents, universities and NGOs, is needed to increase levels of awareness among companies and to support inclusive business practices.

Companies in Turkey believe that the existence of national strategies on inclusive business, collaborations between stakeholders, nationwide awareness-raising campaigns (particularly targeting SMEs and entrepreneurs) and social performance indicators could help them to include poor people in their value chains.
The number of enterprises engaging in inclusive business has not yet reached desired levels. So we asked the companies: do you know what inclusive business is, and how do you engage with it?

As part of our pilot study in Turkey, we visited 10 managers to discuss these questions and then developed a quantitative survey based on the key points that emerged from these discussions, the literature review and case analysis. (Please see the methodology for details.) The survey would serve as a tool to measure the inclusivity of the private sector and can be used as a standard benchmark to track results within and across different countries.

Do they know about inclusive business? — Turkish companies’ knowledge and awareness of inclusive business

The overall picture shows that companies in Turkey have very low levels of engagement in inclusive business models and activities, though their perception of the private sector’s role in development is at a moderate level. The level of awareness is greater in large companies than in SMEs in the sense that large companies know that inclusive business models can bring financial gains and competitive advantage.

“The private sector should begin considering responsibility not as a gift they give in addition to their business, but as a part of their business strategy. Of course this will not happen in a single day. The big picture is obvious, but there are a few people who can actually see it. Many people only care about saving today, not thinking about what would happen tomorrow. Therefore, the private sector should put this corporate social responsibility away and change its business strategy. It is not easy, but necessary I believe. The private sector should embrace it more than the public sector.”

General Manager of a foundation established by a multinational corporation from Turkey

While most companies do not engage in inclusive business, they think that other companies in their industry or in their supply chains include poor people in their business models. Indeed, they think that these business models are successful. Yet this knowledge does not seem to prompt them to engage in inclusive business themselves. At this point, participants’ perceptions about how applicable inclusive business is in their sector plays a role, because they reveal that inclusive business models may not be applicable in their sector.
How do they engage in inclusive business? — An analysis of Turkish companies’ inclusiveness

Inclusive business models are seen as more profitable and promising than CSR in the long term. Some participants even think that inclusive business is the way forward for the private sector. But how exactly do they include poor people today?

In general, the entry points of the BOP in Turkey vary from business to business. While multinationals and large companies mostly focus on poor people as consumers and employees, SMEs consider them also as suppliers and entrepreneurs.

The survey provided the companies with a set of choices (see below) to show to what extent they include poor people in their core business and their strategy. Among the different entry points of the BOP (different dimensions of inclusivity), employing poor people scored highest, followed by doing business with them in the distribution channel (as carriers, retailers etc.), targeting them as customers/consumers and investing in less-developed neighbourhoods.
Companies which have international operations scored higher on international inclusiveness than their level of inclusiveness in Turkey. Mean scores for each category of inclusiveness (employing poor people, targeting them as consumers etc.) are higher in international operations than in operations in Turkey.

Interestingly, companies are relatively more inclusive when the definition of inclusiveness also includes other groups such as women, people with disabilities etc. But they do not invest heavily in developing the skills and knowledge of poor people. Private sector contributes most to the skill and knowledge development of poor people when the poor take the role of employee and local community.

Innovative capacity is very important for companies which adopt inclusive business models or activities in Turkey. Some of the in-depth interview participants revealed that innovative capacity also leads to higher efficiency and, consequently, better financial returns. Innovation and entrepreneurship are among the main drivers of inclusive business models.

There is almost no cooperation between inclusive businesses in Turkey and NGOs or governmental institutions. Establishing partnerships with public institutions is seen as essential for adopting an inclusive business perspective. A majority of the participants, and particularly SMEs, mention the importance of building an ecosystem between the company, poor people and financial institutions. They think that these ecosystems can help them to scale up their businesses and reach the mass market with competitive prices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator of inclusiveness</th>
<th>Mean scores for inclusiveness*</th>
<th>Mean scores for international inclusiveness*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employing poor people</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting poor people as customers/consumers</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing business with poor people as suppliers (e.g. buying products and/or services from BOP producers)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considering poor people as entrepreneurs in the company’s business model</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing business with poor people in the distribution channel (as carriers, retailers etc.)</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in less-developed neighbourhoods</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizing poor people in the company’s mission statement</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizing poor people in the company’s business strategy</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average inclusiveness score</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Mean score out of 7.
How to raise the level of Turkish companies’ awareness of and engagement with inclusive business — Future implications for stakeholders

For companies

Put inclusiveness at the centre from the very outset, and reflect this as a priority in every stage of the value chain. Managers state the importance of developing business models and producing products with an inclusive mindset from the start. Some participants also reveal that there should be a holistic perspective in terms of doing good in every process of the value chain. A company’s current level of inclusiveness is highly related with having a future inclusiveness strategy. This shows that companies which already have inclusive business models or activities are considering continuing their activities in the future, which contributes to the sustainability of inclusive business. This also implies that companies are satisfied with the business and/or social outcomes of their inclusive business models and activities.

Commitment from senior management is essential. Some managers place special emphasis on the participation of internal stakeholders in all corporate responsibility and inclusive business practices.

Invest in and include the BOP in the value chain, and measure and communicate the impact. The effectiveness of inclusiveness in reaching certain business and social goals was assessed for different ways of incorporating poor people into the business model (as employees, consumers, entrepreneurs, distributors etc.). The results showed that:

✦ investing in less-developed neighbourhoods is the most effective way of successfully doing inclusive business, followed by incorporating poor people into the business model as employees, consumers, suppliers, entrepreneurs and distribution channel members; and
✦ companies generally do not measure the business and social impact of inclusive business and do not communicate the outcomes.

Be innovative, especially when cooperating. Companies had low scores on business model innovativeness (i.e. finding new ways to generate revenues and define value propositions for customers, suppliers and partners). In particular, the lowest score in this regard emerged as innovation in forming new forms of partnerships with other industry actors to do business with the BOP. Since collaboration is a major factor for the success of inclusive business, this low score is a sign of the need to develop an enabling environment for companies to form partnerships with actors from different industries and non-business stakeholders.

Make long-term projections for returns when targeting the BOP. A short-term profit orientation may lead to an underestimation of the future business and social impact of inclusive business initiatives, as these efforts require a long-term perspective to reach scale.
For governments

Take an active role. Managers want the government to take an active collaborative role in inclusive business models. They criticize the perspectives of development actors and government, as the private sector is seen only as a financial contributor in development efforts. They also reveal that they expect the government to introduce practices and regulations that could support and ease the implementation of inclusive businesses.

Promote transparency and accountability. Some participants emphasized the importance of the public in promoting transparency and accountability. Increased transparency and reporting practices prompt other companies to adopt a similar approach, which creates a domino effect.

Support innovation and entrepreneurship. Our survey revealed that entrepreneurial proclivity can increase the level of engagement of companies in development efforts as well as the level of inclusiveness in their future operations. Consequently, supporting innovation and entrepreneurship emerges as an important way for policymakers to increase the private sector’s role in development. Innovative business models enable companies to be more effective in reaching their intended goals by employing and targeting poor people, working with them as suppliers and entrepreneurs and investing in less-developed neighbourhoods.

Encourage the private sector to invest in human capital through inclusive businesses. Inclusive business enables the private sector to invest in human capital. Inclusive companies contribute more to developing the skills of poor people and include other disadvantaged groups in their operations.

Create external financing facilities. Companies which consider poor people as entrepreneurs in their business models state that the main contextual factor which affects their operations is opportunities to provide external financing. Consequently, support from financial institutions through micro-credits and other financial services is needed to support companies’ efforts to incorporate poor people into their business model as entrepreneurs.

Develop certification for inclusive value chains. It is important that the driver companies of the value chain in an industry engage in inclusive business models or activities. Certification systems are an important motivator: once driver companies achieve certification, other companies follow suit, creating a domino effect.

For everyone — Collaborative efforts

Inclusive business models and activities were supported mostly by consumers, members of the supply chain and the local community, while respondents consider research centres, financial institutions and universities as providing them with the lowest levels of support. These scores are highly important in the sense that inclusive business requires high levels of collaboration between different development actors for greater social impact and the sustainability of these business models.

Companies were asked to what extent they intend to apply the above-mentioned strategies to incorporate the BOP in their business model in the next five years. The results revealed that they are not considering becoming more inclusive in the future. This clearly shows the need to support inclusive business practices through joint efforts by related parties, including the government, development agents, universities and NGOs.
Collaboration is a must to ensure success. A majority of the managers emphasize the importance of cooperatives in the successful application of inclusive business models. The managers of industrial zones and chambers of commerce are seen as important collaboration partners who support inclusive business models.

Table 4. Levels of cooperation in Turkish inclusive businesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who supported you most when</th>
<th>Top management</th>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Customers</th>
<th>Supply chain members</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Governmental institutions</th>
<th>Professional organizations</th>
<th>Development actors</th>
<th>Peers in the industry</th>
<th>Companies from other industries</th>
<th>NGOs</th>
<th>Local community</th>
<th>Financial institutions</th>
<th>Universities</th>
<th>Research centres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employing poor people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting poor people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing business with poor people as suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing business with poor people in the distribution channel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considering poor people as entrepreneurs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in less-developed neighbourhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where do Turkish companies see the solution?

*In contextual factors*

Levels of education, knowledge and awareness in low-income markets, infrastructure, the regulatory environment and bureaucracy were seen as the most significant challenges to overcome. On the other hand, the costs of doing business with the BOP, the perspective of senior management and the company’s corporate culture were seen as driving success. The costs of doing business with the BOP might be considered a contributing factor, since poor people mostly take on the role of employees or consumers in the business model.

*In regulations*

While government incentives and labour market regulations were considered slightly positive, quality management standards, intellectual property rights and obtaining the required licences and permits to carry out inclusive business were considered challenges.

*In policies to support inclusive business*

Company representatives in Turkey were asked to choose among a number of strategies that could facilitate companies to adopt business models which integrate the poor. Companies rated the existence of a national strategy on inclusive business models, the development of collaborations between businesses, financial institutions, policymakers and NGOs, nationwide awareness-raising campaign on inclusive business models, and social performance indicators for companies as the most contributive factors for their organization to utilize inclusive business.

**Figure 13. “Which would be helpful for your organization to include poor people?”**
In collaboration

Companies evaluated their inclusive business as being more effective when they received higher levels of support from:

- professional organizations, municipalities and financial institutions for companies employing poor people;
- financial institutions, NGOs and companies from other industries for companies targeting poor people (the appropriateness of the company’s products and services to low-income consumers emerges as a main contextual factor influencing this effectiveness);
- financial institutions, companies from other industries and universities for companies working with poor people as suppliers;
- the local community, financial institutions and municipalities for companies working with poor people as distribution channel members; and
- municipalities, professional organizations and supply chain members for companies working with poor people as entrepreneurs in their business models (costs of doing business with the BOP, current relationships with supply chain partners and infrastructure emerge as the main contextual factors which positively or negatively affect this effectiveness).

To sum up, this chapter aimed to provide a snapshot of the current level of knowledge, awareness and engagement of inclusive business among the companies in Turkey. The survey revealed that companies in Turkey have low level of engagement in inclusive business, although the companies surveyed demonstrated a relatively moderate level of contribution to development. Most of the Turkish companies employ low-income communities and/or sell to them. The survey revealed that there is almost no cooperation between inclusive businesses in Turkey and NGOs or governmental institutions; however, companies engaging in inclusive businesses in Turkey evaluated their inclusive business as being more effective when they received higher levels of support from other stakeholders. Turkish companies regard levels of education, knowledge and awareness in low-income markets, infrastructure, the regulatory environment and bureaucracy as the major challenges to overcome. On the other hand, Turkish companies refer to the costs of doing business with the BOP, the perspective of senior management and the company’s corporate culture as contextual factors which positively affect their efforts to include poor people in their business model. Finally the respondents reported that the existence of a national strategy on inclusive business would be helpful for their organization to engage in inclusive business.

Next chapter will summarize our recommendations for policy level action with respect to the three intervention areas we identified based on the findings from Chapter 1 and Chapter 2. These interventions, we argue, would accelerate the shift towards inclusive business models.
Figure 14. “How do these different factors affect your inclusive business?”
POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS: WHAT NEXT?

- Managers’ current low levels of interest in inclusive business could increase if they had a better understanding of how inclusive business approaches might help them grow their business.
- Policy development is essential in three broad categories: Level of awareness/interest, innovation and collaboration.
Based on the literature review, case analysis and pilot study in Turkey, we propose three broad categories in which policy development is essential. The first one concerns the current level of awareness/interest in inclusive business approaches. The other two categories are arguably the two most essential aspects in developing inclusive business models: innovation and collaboration. Innovation and collaboration are necessary both for the establishment of inclusive business models and for scaling up. In this chapter we will discuss policy implications in these three categories in relation to company/sector characteristics and local context and stakeholders.

**Figure 15. Policy development required to drive inclusive business**

**On level of awareness/interest**

1) **Raising the awareness and interest of business executives**

As underlined earlier, our research suggests that managers in Turkey have a quite low level of awareness of and interest in inclusive business. Interestingly, they are very concerned with addressing environmental issues as part of development efforts; yet they report lower levels of engagement in poverty reduction through business approaches. There may be several reasons as to why companies are now embracing environmental issues as part of their corporate strategy. Stakeholders (e.g. consumers, NGOs, governments) expect higher environmental performance from companies due to higher levels of awareness about environmental issues such as diminishing natural resources and climate change. Importantly, managers now realize that it is possible to be profitable and sustainable if they also create environmentally sensitive business processes.

Raising managers’ awareness of successful inclusive business models in their sectors could be the first step to encourage the adoption of inclusive business models and activities. Managers’ interest in inclusive business could increase if they had a better understanding of how inclusive business approaches might help them grow their business. In that sense, the engagement of industry trendsetters in inclusive business can help raise interest and awareness on such business models among industry peers. However, companies’ evaluations of the
applicability of inclusive business in their sectors reveal that they have low levels of awareness about the very innovative applications of inclusive business in different sectors. We believe that UNDP and other development organizations should commit themselves to strengthening industry wide peer networks in order to improve the visibility of innovative business models and enable peer learning.

In addition, the role of top management commitment to responsible and inclusive business practices is very important (Hoejmose & Adrien-Kirby 2012; Stoughton and Ludema 2012). Managerial shift to a broader shareholder value approach is needed to incorporate inclusive business in the core strategy of the company (Klettner, Clarke and Boersma 2014). Therefore, UNDP and other development actors could step in to influence the board-level and to ensure their commitment in this long-term endeavor.

2) Increasing “inclusiveness” of businesses

Our research found that even companies that actually carried out inclusive business practices did not necessarily identify themselves as inclusive business but embraced them intuitively. This finding proves that some private-sector actors already sense the merits of an inclusive business approach, and hints at the existence of an environment for inclusive businesses to flourish organically.

Based on the demands coming from the Turkish companies we recommend:

✦ Developing national strategies on inclusive business
✦ Organizing nationwide awareness-raising campaigns; and
✦ Increasing the measurement, reporting and communication of business and social impact of inclusive businesses

First of all, we propose developing national strategies on inclusive business with the participation of all related actors (under the leadership of the governments, including representatives from private sector, NGOs, development actors and the target population, the poor). We recommend this strategy to aim:

✦ Creating awareness and interest among these different actors
✦ Developing strategies to foster innovativeness and business model innovation to ensure the development of successful inclusive business models for different sectors
✦ Developing policies to incentivize inclusive businesses
✦ Establishing and supporting centres for research and project development
✦ Establishing platforms to foster collaboration between private sector, NGOs, development actors and financial institutions.

Second of all, we propose organizing nationwide awareness-raising campaigns that particularly target SMEs and entrepreneurs. UNDP and other development organizations may embark on a nationwide roadshow programmes in cooperation with the government bodies and private sector NGOs to raise awareness on inclusive businesses. A national awareness-raising campaign is likely to influence not only companies but also their stakeholders. The literature points to a strong link between stakeholder expectations and companies’ level of involvement in development. While there may be differences among the characteristics, priorities and power
of different stakeholder groups, having a stakeholder-driven approach, incorporating stakeholders’ expectations in the strategies, measuring stakeholder reactions and using this information as an input in future decision-making (Epstein and Widener, 2011) establish the foundation for inclusive business.

Finally, companies which engage in inclusive business generally consider it an effective way of reaching their business and social goals. However, there is still very little measurement, reporting and communication of the business and social impact of these models. Creating awareness about the importance of measuring and communicating the outcomes may contribute both to the sustainability of the existing initiatives and encourage other companies to engage in inclusive business. We, therefore, recommend that UNDP and other development organizations should encourage inclusive businesses to adopt social performance indicators to measure their development impact. There are a number of monitoring and evaluation and impact evaluation frameworks for inclusive business such as BCtA’s Results Reporting Framework, Oxfam Poverty Footprint, BIF Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) System, Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS), WBCSD Measuring Impact Framework, and the INSEAD Economic Footprint (Wach, 2012). Apart from these frameworks particularly developed for measuring the inclusivity of businesses, a number of other frameworks used for corporate social responsibility reporting such as the triple bottom line framework (TBL or 3BL), FTSE Russell’s FTSE4Good index or corporate social responsibility reporting standards such as Global Reporting Initiative’s G4, UNGC’s Communication on Progress and ISO26000 could also be helpful.

3) Targeting SMEs and Entrepreneurs

SMEs seem to play a vital role in the development of inclusive business approaches. Our research indicates that a sizeable proportion of successful inclusive business approaches are developed by SMEs. This finding is also echoed in the literature (e.g. Abraham, 2012), pointing to a link between SMEs and their ability to adapt to the local context better than larger multinational corporations. Furthermore, our findings also highlight the importance of developing business models and producing products with an inclusive mindset from the outset. Consequently, we suggest that SMEs and entrepreneurs could be the primary targets for policymakers during the process of a nationwide strategy development and awareness-raising campaign.

4) Sectors to begin with

Our comparative case analysis showed that the majority of existing successful inclusive business cases operates in agriculture, financial services and the energy sector. We recommend that these sectors could be targeted initially in countries where inclusive business models are not common. Further research is required to understand whether inclusive business models and practices developed in these sectors can be adapted to other sectors where they are less prevalent.
On innovation

5) Promoting the innovative spirit

Fostering an innovative environment and an entrepreneurial spirit in companies in general can also increase the use of inclusive business approaches. More innovative firms tend to adopt inclusive business approaches. Companies with a great entrepreneurial spirit also perceive themselves as contributing to development goals across different domains.

6) Focusing on the poor in the supply side

Innovativeness emerges as an important factor to ensure the shift from considering poor people only as consumers to incorporating them into the value chain through operations and different entry points. With the current orientation, poor people are predominantly positioned in the demand side of the value chain as consumers. However, to ensure sustainability and effectiveness in reaching intended business and social goals, we need innovative business models which assign different, long-term and sustainable roles to poor people in the value chain.

We also found that companies with innovative supply chain practices (from procurement and production to distribution) are more likely to be effective in reaching their business goals with inclusive business approaches. These insights add to previous research focusing on innovation in BOP markets.

7) Building innovation for inclusiveness via CSR

Our data does not reveal any relationship between CSR practices and the extent to which companies adopt inclusive business approaches. On the other hand, CSR practices may still be helpful to the extent that they also increase companies’ capacity for innovation (Husted and Allen, 2007; Ramani and Mukherjee, 2014; Wagner, 2010), and innovation fuels inclusiveness. Firms that integrate CSR in their core business obtain a source of knowledge creation that encourages firms’ innovation.

8) Putting innovation at the centre of national inclusive business strategies

Inclusive business requires new and innovative ways to combine resources and capabilities through new business models and collaborations among different development actors. Consequently, developing innovative capacities of companies especially in terms of business model innovation is of key importance for the proliferation of inclusive business. Previous research showed that, there is a link between environmental regulations and increased competitiveness when environmental regulations have a focus on innovation (Costantini and Mazzanti, 2012; Fujii and Managi, 2012). Equipping inclusive business policies with innovation strategies may also lead inclusive businesses to thrive. We, therefore, recommend that a national strategy on inclusive business should incorporate a strong focus on fostering innovation.
On collaboration

9) Strengthening partnerships

As underlined before, our research suggests that most successful inclusive businesses operate following a network approach. Companies that stated they received support from several different stakeholders said they achieved their business goals through the inclusion of poor people. These results demonstrate the importance of developing an innovative business model based on collaborations with related parties to successfully incorporate poor people into the core business of the company. Innovativeness and partnerships, which emerge as the second and third most critical success factors for successful inclusive businesses, are the basis on which these business models should be built.

Operational partnerships to address challenges seem critical for the growth of successful inclusive businesses. The main challenges faced by local SMEs, multinational corporations and large national companies relate to the local community, followed by financial challenges. For social enterprises, financial challenges again emerge as the main challenge. Collaborations with financial institutions are, therefore, required for inclusive businesses to overcome financial barriers. Challenges relating to local communities should also be overcome through operational partnerships with appropriate institutions.

10) Diversifying partnerships

Existing research also points to the importance of collaboration, particularly in BOP markets. Partnerships help companies adapt to the specific dynamics of the subsistence marketplace, and partners from multiple sectors may help to address the problem of institutional gaps in these markets (Rivera-Santos, Rufin and Kolk, 2012). Furthermore, partnerships with NGOs can help to eliminate the problems multinational corporations face in their entrepreneurial attempts in BOP markets, thanks to NGOs’ knowledge of the context and their social embeddedness (Webb et al., 2010). Partnership with the government is specifically important for BOP markets, mainly due to the scope of the problems and capacity gaps. Furthermore, the regulatory environment and bureaucracy were considered among the most significant impeding factors for companies to engage in inclusive business, which reflects the importance of government in providing a supportive legal environment for inclusive business. Conventional roles attributed to government — as regulator — and to companies — as investors — are not enough to leverage inclusive business. Collaboration and joint action between these two should be introduced. Further research into perceptions that constitute a barrier to collaboration between government and the private sector would be beneficial.
Collaboration in BOP markets

Literature recommends that endemic poverty at the BOP can be tackled through partnerships between government, social institutions and entrepreneurial forces, despite their conflicting interests (VanSandt and Sud, 2012). It calls for attention to the importance of collaboration between for-profit and not-for-profit organizations and also with their customers and all stakeholders to achieve both economic and social goals in BOP markets (Blok, Sjauw-Koen-Fa and Omta, 2013). Partnerships between local entrepreneurs and development partners such as civil society groups, the government and corporations may suggest an opportunity to create a fortune with the BOP rather than at the BOP (Calton et al., 2013). For example, partnerships between the government and social health insurance organizations are required particularly in the health care area to reach the BOP at scale (Tung and Benett, 2014). Trust-based partnerships among poor populations, NGOs and multinational corporations can be used to create markets for the BOP (Shivarajan and Srinivasan, 2013).

Successful operation in BOP markets requires a thorough understanding of local needs and the customers (Rangan et al., 2011; Weidner, Rosa and Viswanathan, 2010; Calton et al., 2013; Sharma and Lee, 2012), how local capabilities interact with the social context and technological applications (Dey et al., 2013), local adaptation in designing products (Viswanathan and Sridharan, 2011) and the support of government regulations and trained staff who can make system adjustments (Berger and Nakata, 2013). Subsistence consumers also operate as micro-enterprises, balancing and maintaining different roles and relationships in the marketplace (Viswanathan et al., 2010).

Municipalities, financial institutions and professional organizations emerge as the most important stakeholders whose support matter most in enabling inclusive business models to achieve their intended goals. Support from other parties, including companies from other industries, supply chain partners, the local community, NGOs and universities, is also required in models with different entry points of the BOP. Consequently, rather than a prescriptive approach, the situational characteristics should be taken into consideration to support different inclusive business models with different entry points of the BOP.

11) Converting knowledge into practice

Our research also reveals criticisms of development actors and academia for their focus on research and modelling. Instead, managers suggest these parties should focus on creating and supporting real-life projects; not on workshops and seminars.

Even though companies with inclusive operations reveal that they get a fair level of support from development actors, our research also shows that companies which do not engage in inclusive business have very weak relationships with development actors. Consequently, we suggest UNDP and other development actors to take a proactive role in developing collaborative relationships with the private sector especially in sectors with low levels of awareness of and interest for inclusive business.

Universities in general and business schools in particular can influence managerial practice by better integrating relevant courses on business and development into their curricula and emphasizing...
collaborative growth. This could be achieved through institutional collaboration (Naeem and Peach, 2011) as well as the active involvement of accreditation bodies. In particular, immersion experience as part of a curriculum could be useful both to create knowledge and to increase the sensitivity of future managers (Viswanathan et al., 2011). We believe that inspiring future managers through curricula and by introducing a lens through which their perspectives on pressing social and economic problems are continuously filtered is necessary for inclusive business to successfully take root in a country. We also think that inclusive business centres that offer consultancy services could be established for those who would like to apply their inspiration on the ground, whether they are young managers, students or entrepreneurs.
Appendix
### Case analysis framework

#### Success factors

The most important success factor was selected based on the subjective evaluation of the coder:

- pull factor (high demand for the product or service), partnerships, business model, local support, state support, innovativeness, availability of funds, entrepreneurial skills, low cost structure

#### Type of engagement for inclusive business

Inclusive business model vs. inclusive business activity vs. social enterprise

- Inclusive business model: integrates the inclusive mindset into a company’s core business and operations; adapts its system totally
- Inclusive business activity: inclusive business is seen as an ancillary activity
- Social enterprises: carry out inclusive business for non-profit purposes, yet they have it integrated into their core operations

#### Type of organization

Classification of companies by the location of the company and the number of staff employed: large national company, local SME, multinational corporation or social enterprise

#### Sustainability (Yes/No)

Whether the business is still operating or not

#### Number of years of operations (numeric)

How long the inclusive business models have been in operation

#### Value chain operations

Inbound logistics, operations, outbound logistics, marketing and sales, services, purchasing, human resources management, technology, infrastructure

#### Role of poor populations

The entry point of poor populations: as consumer, producer, employee, labour or entrepreneur
Strategy (resource-based view vs. network theory)

The resource-based view lies primarily in the application of a bundle of valuable tangible or intangible resources at a firm’s disposal. To transform a short-term competitive advantage into a sustained competitive advantage requires that these resources are heterogeneous in nature and not perfectly mobile (inimitable).

If the company has a resource-based strategy, we may say that it has identified its key resources, which are valuable, rare, inimitable and non-substitutable, so that it can protect these resources and ensure sustainable competitive advantage.

In the network theory approach the source of competitive advantage is the network of relationships, with the idea that the firms with best/optimal relationship portfolios will survive.

Factors for growth

Factors that help inclusive business models grow. The most important factor was selected based on the subjective evaluation of the coder:

- business model adjustments (where the success factor is the change in the business model),
- business environment (success factor is the supporting business environment),
- operational partnerships (success factor is the strength and impact of the partnerships),
- market or supplier development (success factor is the new market opportunities, and extending the supplier networks through new suppliers) and
- funding partnerships (success factor is the availability of funds created through partnerships)

Innovativeness

Whether the companies serve poor populations through innovative products/services, with modified versions of the existing products/services or simply with existing products/services

Value proposition

Newness (innovative solutions), performance (improving the performance of an existing product or service), customization (adapting the product/service according to customers’ needs), design (serving the customer with a distinctive design of products/services), price (low price or fair price for improved performance), risk reduction (help in reducing the possible risks related to the lives of people on low incomes — such as health), accessibility (helping poor people to have access to goods/services) and convenience/usability (making products and services easy to use)

Challenges

The most important challenge was selected based on the subjective evaluation of the coder:

- poor infrastructure, political, regulatory or economic uncertainties, corruption, financial challenges, lack of partnership opportunities, lack of governmental support, lack of institutional support (from NGOs, local managers etc.), challenges related to the local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.)
Analysis on years of operation

Results of independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sig. *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of organization</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value chain operations</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovativeness</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of inclusive business</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of the poor population</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of the company</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors for growth</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value proposition</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical success factors</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The significance level is 0.05

Years of operation – Group: Structure (private initiatives, project-based alliances, platforms)

The Kruskal-Wallis test showed a statistically significant difference in years of operation between the different inclusive business structures: \( \chi^2 \) (3, \( n = 125 \)) = 8.765, \( p=0.033 \). Private initiatives recorded a higher median score (16) than the other groups (platforms: 15, project-based alliances: 13).

Mean scores for these three groups are 18.28 for private initiatives, 17.12 for platforms and 14.19 for project-based alliances.
Years of operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private initiative</td>
<td>18.2800</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.12195</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-based alliance</td>
<td>14.1892</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7.45705</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>17.1200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.38155</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.4960</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.61883</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results show that private initiatives have been established earlier than platforms and project-based alliances. Project-based alliances have the lowest score, which is in line with the literature and the findings of in-depth interviews, as private initiatives and a single-sector approach were dominant in previous decades. Partnerships, especially within industries, can be considered more recent forms of collaboration.

**Years of operation – Group: Type of inclusive business (inclusive business model, inclusive business activity, social enterprise)**

The Kruskal-Wallis test showed a statistically significant difference in years of operation between different types of inclusive business: $\chi^2 (3, n = 125) = 7.905; p=0.048$. Social enterprises recorded a higher median score (14.5) than the other groups (inclusive business model: 14, inclusive business activity: 11).

Mean scores for these three groups are 17.60 for social enterprises, 15.90 for inclusive business models and 12.27 for inclusive business activities.

Years of operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of inclusive business</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.41421</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive business model</td>
<td>15.9020</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>8.35299</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive business activity</td>
<td>12.2727</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.19824</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social enterprise</td>
<td>17.6000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.73030</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>14.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.4960</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.61883</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results show that inclusive business activities are more recent forms of inclusive business; they might have developed thanks to the previous experiences gained through inclusive business models and social enterprises.
APPENDIX II

**Years of operation – Group: Role of the company (enabler, system innovator)**

The Kruskal-Wallis test showed a significant difference in years of operation between different roles played by the company: $\chi^2 (2, n = 125) = 10.375; p=0.006$. The role of system innovator recorded a higher median score (14.5) than the role of enabler (14).

Mean scores for these two groups are 17.10 for system innovator and 14.70 for enabler.

**Years of operation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role of the company</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabler</td>
<td>14.6984</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.42627</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System innovator</td>
<td>17.1034</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9.46960</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.4960</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.61883</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of system innovator adopts a more holistic perspective than the role of enabler and aims to create systemic change. Once this systemic change is achieved, it is possible that the other companies with enabler roles can also operate in this new ecosystem, since it may also provide them with a favourable business environment.

**Years of operation – Group: Approach (resource-based view, network theory)**

The Mann-Whitney U-test showed a significant difference in years of operation between different strategic approaches: ($U = 1080, p=0.037$). The mean years of operation is significantly higher in a resource-based approach (17.48) than a network theory approach (14.98).

**Years of operation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource-based view</td>
<td>17.4839</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.05345</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network theory</td>
<td>14.9785</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>8.68279</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.6048</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>8.56711</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These findings are particularly important for policy implications. The network theory approach requires the development of partnerships to create a network of relationships which will serve as the source of competitive advantage. In this case, the accumulated effect created through the resources and capabilities of the whole network will be higher. As the data show, the network theory approach has started to be adopted recently, which may foster the business and social impact of inclusive businesses.

**Years of operation – Challenges**

The Kruskal-Wallis test showed a marginally significant difference in years of operation between different types of challenges which might be faced before, during or after the implementation of the inclusive business: $\chi^2 (7, n = 125) = 19.658; p=0.006$. Companies which faced a lack of institutional support have the lowest median score (8.00), followed by those faced with a lack of partnership opportunities (13.00), challenges related to the local community (13.72) and financial challenges (15.86).

On the other hand, the mean scores are ranked as follows: lack of institutional support: 8.00; lack of partnership opportunities: 13.00; challenges related to the local community: 13.72; financial challenges: 15.86; uncertainties: 19.92; lack of governmental support: 20.50; and poor infrastructure: 22.55.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of institutional support</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of partnership opportunities</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.60660</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>20.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of governmental support</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.62726</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges related to the local community</td>
<td>13.722</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.61883</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.496</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.61883</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to these results, companies which were established more recently face challenges related to a lack of institutional support and partnership opportunities. This finding leads us to the policy implication that new inclusive businesses need support and opportunities for partnership development with NGOs, institutions from the private and public sectors and other development actors.
Figure 1. Major challenges according to type of organization

- **Large national company**
  - Challenges related to local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.): 50%
  - Financial challenges: 23%
  - Lack of governmental support: 4%
  - Poor infrastructure: 15%
  - Lack of partnership opportunities: 4%

- **Local SME**
  - Challenges related to local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.): 36%
  - Financial challenges: 30%
  - Lack of governmental support: 21%
  - Lack of institutional support (NGOs, local managers etc.): 9%
  - Poor infrastructure: 4%

- **Multinational corporation**
  - Challenges related to local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.): 37%
  - Financial challenges: 30%
  - Political, regulatory or economic uncertainties: 19%
  - Lack of governmental support: 11%
  - Lack of institutional support (NGOs, local managers etc.): 4%

- **Social Enterprise**
  - Challenges related to local community (illiteracy, hostility, low skills etc.): 27%
  - Financial challenges: 55%
  - Lack of institutional support (NGOs, local managers etc.): 9%
  - Poor infrastructure: 9%
Figure 2. Critical success factors for different types of organization

- **Large national company**
  - Business model: 55%
  - Pull factor (high demand for the product or service): 11%
  - Local support: 5%
  - Innovativeness: 11%
  - State support: 5%

- **Local SME**
  - Business model: 40%
  - Pull factor (high demand for the product or service): 19%
  - Local support: 13%
  - Innovativeness: 11%
  - State support: 4%

- **Multinational corporation**
  - Business model: 50%
  - Pull factor (high demand for the product or service): 18%
  - Local support: 18%
  - Innovativeness: 5%
  - State support: 5%

- **Social enterprise**
  - Business model: 18%
  - Pull factor (high demand for the product or service): 36%
  - Local support: 18%
  - Innovativeness: 9%
  - State support: 9%
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